IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (GALVESTON DIVISION)		
	ALAN R. DECKER	
ŕ	Plaintiff,	
	v.	
	PEPSICO, INC.	
	Defendant.	
_		

# **IDENTITY OF PARTIES AND COUNSEL**

Plaintiff:	Counsel:
Alan R. Decker	ROBERT R. JONES III State Bar No. 24092597
	2411 Emancipation Ave, Ste 202 Houston, TX 77004 Tel 832-896-1010 Fax 281-404-9021 Email robertjoneslaw@gmail.com
Defendant :	Counsel:
Pepsico Inc.	

#### TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Plaintiff Alan R. Decker respectfully submits this Original Complaint against Defendant **PEPSICO INC.** 

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiff Alan R. Decker is currently a resident of Galveston, Texas who can be contacted c/o the Law Office of Robert Jones, 2411 Emancipation Ave, Ste 202, Houston, TX 77004. 832-896-1010. Email robertjoneslaw@gmail.com
- 2. Defendant PEPSO INC. is a company established in North Carolina and in good standing with the NC Secretary of State. The Company can served by serving its registered agent CT Corporation at 225 Hillsborough Street Raleigh, NC 27603 or wherever they may be found.

## **STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

5. Plaintiff is suing Defendants for intentional infliction of emotional distress (IIED) and negligence as a result of a piece of hardened rubber O-ring or plastic washer broken into two pieces which Plaintiff found inside his box of Captain Crunch cereal. Plaintiff swallowed one piece, almost choking to death, and the other piece was found in the middle of his cereal. The broken piece was lodged in his throat for two minutes and Plaintiff was forced to give himself the

Heimlich maneuver and ended up swallowing the broken piece in order to clear his airway.

4. Plaintiff sustained injuries as a result of these actions, and therefore brings this action for money damages of \$2,500,000.

## **NATURE OF THE CASE AND JURISDICTION**

5. The basis for federal jurisdiction is complete diversity of citizenship. Plaintiff is a citizen of **Texas** and resident of Galveston, Texas. Defendants are citizens of the state **North Carolina**. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one State sues a citizen of another State or nation and the amount at stake is more than \$75,000 is a diversity of citizenship case. In a diversity of citizenship case, no defendant may be a citizen of the same State as any plaintiff. This Court has jurisdiction for these reasons.

#### **COUNT 1: NEGLIGENCE**

6. **Negligence:** Elements of a Negligence cause of action are: 1) Duty owed by defendant to plaintiff; 2) Breach of that duty; 3) Proximate cause of the plaintiff's damages by defendant's breach; and 4) Damages. *Rodriguez-Escobar v. Goss*, 392 S.W.3d 109, 113 (Tex. 2013) Defendants negligence caused damages to Plaintiffs throat, digestive, intestinal and stomach. Also trauma and the broken

tooth caused disfigurement to the right side of Plaintiff's face. There will be future dental expenses. The incident occurred on or about 6/14/19 and two months later Plaintiff is still experiencing pain.

#### **COUNT 2: EMOTIONAL DISTRESS**

7. Intentional infliction of emotional distress (IIED): The Texas law tort of intentional infliction of emotional distress requires proof of the following elements: (1) the defendant acted intentionally or recklessly; (2) the defendant's conduct was extreme and outrageous; (3) that conduct caused the plaintiff emotional distress; and (4) the emotional distress suffered by the plaintiff was severe. Estate of Newton ex rel. Newton v. Grandstaff, No. 3:10-CV-809-L, 2012 WL 3013929, at \*7 (N.D. Tex. July 20, 2012) (Lindsay, J.) (citing Twyman v. Twyman, 855 S.W.2d 619, 621–22 (Tex.1993)). The Texas Supreme Court has recognized that an intentional infliction of emotional distress claim is "a 'gapfiller' tort, judicially created for the limited purpose of allowing recovery in those rare instances in which a defendant intentionally inflicts severe emotional distress in a manner so unusual that the victim has no other recognized theory of redress." Standard Fruit & Vegetable Co. v. Johnson, 985 S.W.2d 62, 68 (Tex. 1998) (emphasis added).

8. The Defendant's actions have not only had a deleterious effect on Mr. Decker's health and mental state, the Defendant's actions have had a severe impact due to the extreme and outrageousness conduct of the Defendant's actions. Defendants intentionally or recklessly caused severe emotional distress to the Plaintiff through their actions, conduct, and intentional strategy to trample upon Plaintiff's rights. Defendant's conduct was extreme and outrageous and proximately caused Plaintiff's severe emotional distress. Plaintiff suffered damages for which Plaintiff here sues of including but not limited to severe mental anguish, interest, inconvenience, emotional pain, suffering, loss of enjoyment of life, loss of consortium, post traumatic stress disorder.

## **REQUEST FOR ATTORNEY FEES**

9. Plaintiff also hereby requests \$30,000 in attorney fees.

# **CONCLUSION**

10. As a result, the Plaintiff should be awarded damages including actual and punitives. Due to the actions of Defendants, plaintiff has been damaged substantially. While no amount of money can give him that time back, it might help ease the pain.

### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Plainitiff respectfully prays that this Honorable Court award him with money damages he is requesting, including attorney fees and any and all punitive or other damages the Court may deem necessary to award past, present and future.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Robert R. Jones III

ROBERT R. JONES III
Texas Bar No. 24092597
Pro Hac Vice Application Pending
Law Office of Robert R. Jones III
2411 Emancipation Ave, Ste 202
Houston, TX 77004

Tel: (832) 896-1010 Fax: (281) 404-9021

Email: robertjoneslaw@gmail.com COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF

Date: 8/17/2019

### **CERTIFICATION**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, by signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that this complaint: (1) is not being presented for an improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation; (2) is supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or **discovery**; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Rule 11

By:

ALAN R, DECKER, Individually

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared the affiant, who is known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the above and foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for the purposes and considerations therein expressed.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE this  $\cancel{1914}$  day of August 12019.

Notary Public in and for the State of Texas